

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,593	07/28/2003	Joel C. Trusty	11111-43236	4634
35973 BINGHAM MO	7590 12/17/2007 CHALE LLP		EXAM	INER
2700 MARKET	TOWER		LEE, EDMUND H  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  1791	
10 WEST MAR INDIANAPOL	RKET STREET IS, IN 46204-4900	•		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptodocket@binghammchale.com schantz@binghammchale.com pbailey@binghammchale.com

:	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comment	10/628,593	TRUSTY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	EDMUND H. LEE	1791			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addre	ss		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 6(a). In no event, however, may a re fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this committed the committed of th			
Status	•	·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ju	lv 2007				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		is: prosecution as to the me	orite ie		
closed in accordance with the practice under E			)11to 10		
	A parto Quayro, 1000 C.D.	11, 400 0.0. 210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 18-42 is/are pending in the application		<u>!</u>			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-20 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	•	•		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-42</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,	ı			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		i :			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	•	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	oriority under 25 LLS C. S.	110(a) (d) or (f)			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	officially drider 35 C.S.C. §	i 1 <del>/9</del> (a)-(u) or (i).			
	have been received				
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>				
	•		00		
-	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eceived			
	ine certified copies not to	ceiveu.			
		•			
•		' !			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	mmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Mail Date  ormal Patent Application			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	onnai i atent Application			
	• —	, I			

Application/Control Number:

10/628,593 Art Unit: 1791

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The examiner of record has changed from M. Daniels to Edmund Lee.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 21-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rusk (USPN 3364630) in view of Kolberg (USPN 3355772) as set forth in the Office action mailed 5/1/07.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 7/31/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Rusk does not teach using a core element having the claimed size and a mold section having the claimed diameter. This argument appears to be drawn to a particular size. However, the particular size of the center passage formed is insufficient to distinguish the claimed *method of making* from the prior art, which provides the same or substantially the same method of making. Changes in size are generally insufficient to establish patentability. *In Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc.*, 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984). Each of the three references could perform the intended use of holding a workpiece and being held by a spindle. Furthermore, the claimed size of the core element would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill since shrinkage of polymeric material is taken into account when determining sizes of mold tools. Using a core element having a slighter larger cross-section than a workpiece would have been

Application/Control Number:

10/628;593 Art Unit: 1791

obvious in order to ensure that the liner, after cooling and shrinking, would fit over the workpiece. Likewise, using a mold section having a slightly smaller inner dimension would have been obvious in order to ensure that the liner, after cooling and shrinking, would slip into the opening of the spindle.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H. LEE whose telephone number is 571.272.1204. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on 571.272.1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

**Art Unit: 1791** 

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EDMUND H. LEE Primary Examiner Art Unit 1791

EHL

17500109